

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RODEY LEE BIGLER,

No. C 09-02498 SBA (PR)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION
FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL**

v.

DANIEL FORBUS, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff has filed a second motion for appointment of counsel to represent him in this action.

There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case unless an indigent litigant may lose his physical liberty if he loses the litigation. See Lassiter v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981); Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997) (no constitutional right to counsel in § 1983 action), withdrawn in part on other grounds on reh'g en banc, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). The court may ask counsel to represent an indigent litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 only in "exceptional circumstances," the determination of which requires an evaluation of both (1) the likelihood of success on the merits, and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See id. at 1525; Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Both of these factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel under § 1915. See id.

1 The Court is unable to assess at this time whether exceptional circumstances exist which
2 would warrant seeking volunteer counsel to accept a pro bono appointment. The proceedings are at
3 an early stage and it is premature for the Court to determine Plaintiff's likelihood of success on the
4 merits. Moreover, Plaintiff has been able to articulate his claims adequately pro se in light of the
5 complexity of the issues involved. See Agyeman v. Corrs. Corp. of Am., 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th
6 Cir. 2004). Accordingly, the request for appointment of counsel at this time is DENIED. The Court
7 will consider appointment of counsel later in the proceedings, after Defendants have filed their
8 dispositive motion and the Court has a better understanding of the procedural and substantive
9 matters at issue. Therefore, Plaintiff may file a renewed motion for the appointment of counsel after
10 Defendants' dispositive motion has been filed. If the Court decides that appointment of counsel is
11 warranted at that time, it will seek volunteer counsel to agree to represent Plaintiff pro bono.

12 This Order terminates Docket no. 13.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 DATED: 12/17/09


SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 RODEY LEE BIGLER,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 DEANIEL FORBUS et al,

8 Defendant.

Case Number: CV09-02498 SBA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
10 Court, Northern District of California.

11 That on December 21, 2009, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said
13 envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
14 located in the Clerk's office.

15 Rodney Lee Bigler
16 1010 Emerline Avenue
17 Building C
18 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

19 Dated: December 21, 2009

20 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
21 By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California